



Willow Drive Elementary School

26 Willow Drive
Sumter, SC 29150

Grades	PK-5 Elementary School	
Enrollment	573 Students	
Principal	Liz Compton	803 773-5796
Superintendent	Randolph D. Bynum, Sr.	803-469-6900
Board Chair	E. Keith Schultz	803-469-6900

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2013 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2013	Average	Good
2012	Average	Average
2011	Average	Average
2010	Average	Good
2009	Average	Average

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

<http://ed.sc.gov>
<http://www.eoc.sc.gov>

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located


92.5%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk
5	13	119	34	10

* Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.

Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS)



* Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms

Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=573)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	3.8%	Up from 2.2%	1.1%	0.9%
Attendance rate	95.4%	Down from 95.8%	95.9%	96.3%
Served by gifted and talented program	3.6%	N/A	3.7%	7.2%
With disabilities	12.5%	N/A	13.5%	12.4%
Older than usual for grade	4.4%	N/A	2.8%	1.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=35)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	57.1%	Down from 67.6%	60.2%	62.5%
Continuing contract teachers	82.9%	Down from 85.3%	79.4%	83.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	85.8%	Down from 86.9%	85.3%	88.3%
Teacher attendance rate	97.3%	Up from 95.9%	94.8%	95.0%
Average teacher salary*	\$47,974	Down 0.6%	\$46,424	\$48,193
Professional development days/teacher	10.3 days	Up from 7.5 days	10.9 days	11.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 10.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.5 to 1	Down from 23.1 to 1	18.7 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	92.5%	Up from 91.4%	89.5%	90.0%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	99.7%	Down from 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$5,899	Down 11.4%	\$7,812	\$7,364
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	67.0%	Down from 70.7%	68.0%	68.0%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	66.0%	Down from 68.9%	65.0%	66.0%

* Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

** Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Willow Drive Elementary is one of the few neighborhood schools nestled in the heart of Sumter County. Many of our students reside within walking distance from the school. We strive to create a safe and welcoming atmosphere for our students, parents, and community members. A variety of parent involvement opportunities are implemented throughout the school year. Teachers work collaboratively with parents to ensure that all children have an optimal learning experience.

Willow Drive School serves primarily a lower income population with about 90% of our students qualifying for free or reduced priced meals. We also have a rather transient population as approximately 25% of our students transfer on a yearly basis. In spite of these challenges, we have been fortunate to experience significant improvement in our goals of increasing student achievement and improving student discipline. Our test scores on the PASS earned our school the honor of the Palmetto Silver Award. The criteria address improvement of performance in the historically underachieving subgroups, which are minority students, free/reduced price meals students, and students with non-speech disabilities. Teachers, students, faculty, parents, and community members were very excited to receive the award for the 2011-2012 school year. Some of the steps that were taken to accomplish this include correlating our curriculum with the state standards, participation in on-going professional development, Response to Intervention K-5, small group tutoring, the Technology Lab, and a variety of parent involvement activities. We also involve our students in community service projects such as canned food drive for Christian Charities and United Ministries, Pennies for Patients, Relay for Life, and Shower Shaw with cookies. The faculty and staff are dedicated to the mission of educating all children to their highest potential.

Liz Compton, Principal
Mrs. Rachel Johnston, SIC Chairperson

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	37	69	29
Percent satisfied with learning environment	97.3%	85.5%	86.2%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	91.7%	85.5%	82.1%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	73%	86.9%	72.4%

* Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: <http://ed.sc.gov/data/eSEA/> or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	89.2
Overall Grade Conversion	B

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	A	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	B	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	C	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools

Willow Drive Elementary School school has been designated as a:

- ☒ Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
- ☐ Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
- ☐ Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
- ☐ Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance

	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.8%	2.3%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	14.2%	4.9%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	19.5%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	95.4%	94.0%*	Yes

* Or greater than last year

Performance By Group

Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested
-----------	----------	-----------	--------------	---------------------	--------------	---------------

Grades 3-5

All Students	657.9	647.4	619.0	644.8	99.6	99.1
Male	652.7	648.8	622.3	648.0	99.2	98.4
Female	664.2	645.8	615.3	640.7	100.0	100.0
White	676.7	673.2	641.6	656.8	100.0	100.0
African American	653.2	639.9	613.9	640.6	99.4	98.8
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	617.6	612.6	594.6	615.3	100.0	97.0
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	654.8	643.2	616.5	644.3	99.5	99.0
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	635.0	635.0	635.0	635.0	95.0	95.0

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
English/Language Arts							
2012	3	73	98.6	25	31.7	43.3	75
	4	69	100	29	38.7	32.3	71
	5	96	100	36	47.7	16.3	64
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	75	98.7	21.4	31.4	47.1	78.6
	4	86	100	18.2	46.8	35.1	81.8
	5	81	100	17.1	50	32.9	82.9
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics							
2012	3	73	98.6	36.7	31.7	31.7	63.3
	4	69	100	32.3	33.9	33.9	67.7
	5	96	100	38.4	38.4	23.3	61.6
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	75	97.3	33.3	26.1	40.6	66.7
	4	86	100	16.9	46.8	36.4	83.1
	5	81	100	27.1	42.9	30	72.9
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science							
2012	3	38	100	61.3	25.8	12.9	38.7
	4	69	100	37.1	51.6	11.3	62.9
	5	50	100	31.8	59.1	9.1	68.2
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	36	100	30.3	60.6	9.1	69.7
	4	85	100	26.3	53.9	19.7	73.7
	5	40	100	29.4	61.8	8.8	70.6
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable

N/AV–Not Available

N/C–Not Collected

N/R–Not Reported

I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
Social Studies							
2012	3	35	97.1	37.9	44.8	17.2	62.1
	4	66	100	18.3	48.3	33.3	81.7
	5	46	100	54.8	40.5	4.8	45.2
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	38	100	27	43.2	29.7	73
	4	85	100	7.9	52.6	39.5	92.1
	5	41	100	38.9	36.1	25	61.1
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Writing							
2012	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	94	94.7	43.4	42.2	14.5	56.6
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	3	76	100	31.9	34.7	33.3	68.1
	4	86	95.4	20.5	49.3	30.1	79.5
	5	80	93.8	40.9	45.5	13.6	59.1
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable N/AV–Not Available N/C–Not Collected N/R–Not Reported I/S–Insufficient Sample